

# Proposed Waitomo District Plan

## SUBMISSION FORM



Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991  
FORM 5

15 Queen Street, Te Kuiti 3910  
PO Box 404, Te Kuiti 3941 0800 932 4357  
districtplan@waitomo.govt.nz  
www.waitomo.govt.nz

**Closing date for submissions: 12pm on 23 December 2022**

**SUBMITTER DETAILS:** (please note that the (\*) are required fields and must be completed)

Name of submitter: * Waitomo District Council	
Contact person for communications: * Ben Smit (Chief Executive)	
Agent (if applicable): /	
Postal address: * PO Box 404	
Suburb:	Town/City: * Te Kuiti
Country: New Zealand	Postal code: * 3941
Daytime phone: 07878 0800	Mobile:
Email address for submitter: *	
Email address for agent (if applicable): alex.bell@waitomo.govt.nz	
Please tick your preferred method of contact * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input type="checkbox"/> Postal	Correspondence to * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submitter <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Both
Trade competition and adverse effects: * <input type="checkbox"/> I could <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I could not      gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.	
Only if you ticked "I could" above, please answer this question: I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	
<b>Note to person making submission:</b> If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.	
Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• it is frivolous or vexatious;</li> <li>• it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;</li> <li>• it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;</li> <li>• it contains offensive language;</li> <li>• it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.</li> </ul>	
Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need:

<p>The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to e.g provision number, map number</p>	<p>Do you:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support?</li> <li>• Oppose?</li> <li>• Amend?</li> </ul>	<p>What decision are you seeking from Council?</p> <p>What action would you like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain?</li> <li>• Amend?</li> <li>• Add?</li> <li>• Delete?</li> </ul>	<p>Reasons</p>
e.g SD-05	e.g Support	e.g Retain Objective SD-05	e.g It will help to reduce adverse effects between activities and ensure resources are used efficiently
See attached			

Signed:  Date: 21/12/2022

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

PRIVACY ACT NOTE: Please note that all information provided in your submission will be used to progress the process for this proposed district plan, and may be made publicly available.

Submission # .....	Customer # .....	Property # .....
--------------------	------------------	------------------



20 December 2022



Waitomo District Council  
PO Box 404  
Te Kuiti 3941

Email: [districtplan@waitomo.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@waitomo.govt.nz)

### **SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED WAITOMO DISTRICT PLAN**

The **attached** Table 1 comprises the submission from Waitomo District Council's Chief Executive on the Proposed Waitomo District Plan (PDP).

Table 1 contains the proposed amendments to the PDP. Table 1 uses the following key headings:

- (i) Submission point;
- (ii) The specific provision being submitted on;
- (iii) Submission (support or reject)
- (iv) Reasons for submission; and
- (v) The relief sought.

The amendments sought to the PDP in Table 1 seek to provide corrective amendments that will improve the administration of the PDP and provide clarity for district plan users.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Ben Smit", is written over a circular stamp or seal.

Ben Smit  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**Table 1 – Submission points**

<b>Submission point</b>	<b>Specific Provision</b>	<b>Submission</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Decision sought</b>
1.	Add a new rule to the Rural Lifestyle Zone	Support	A new rule to enable the management and keeping of animals to a level that is compatible with the amenity expectations of the zone.	<p>Add the following rule:  <i>Housing and keeping of animals</i></p> <p>Activity status: <i>PER</i></p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The number of poultry must not exceed 5 per site and must not include any roosters; and</i></li> <li>2. <i>Sites may be used for grazing of horses and farm animals but pigs must not be kept; and</i></li> <li>3. <i>A maximum of two beehives are permitted per site only where the net site area is equal to or greater than 1000 m<sup>2</sup> and;</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) <i>The site is not adjacent to an educational facility or a community facility; and</i></li> <li>(ii) <i>The beehive(s) are located at least 5 m from any site boundary.</i></li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: DIS</b></p>

Submission point	Specific Provision	Submission	Reason	Decision sought
2.	Review the extent of the boundaries of the Significant Natural Area (SNA) (R16UP0066)	Support	Advice received from Council's Ecologist that the extent of the boundaries of this SNA need to be reviewed.	Ecologist to review SNA R16UP0066.
3.	Amend NOISE-R8 Emission of noise from helipads, farm helipads and helicopter landing areas	Do not support	The intent of NOISE-R8 is that it should not apply to the General Rural, Rural Production and Tourism Zones. This rule needs to be amended to specify this.	<p><b>Activity Status: RDIS</b></p> <p><b>Where:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are 10 or more flight movements per month per site (a landing and take-off counts as 2 movements). <del>For less than 10 flight movements per month the zone rules in NOISE—Table 2 apply; and</del></li> <li>This activity <del>may only be undertaken in this rule does not apply to the general rural, rural production and natural open space tourism zones or to the Aerodrome Precinct – PREC3; and</del></li> <li>Noise at all times must not exceed 50 dB Ldn at any point <del>within any residential, rural lifestyle, Māori purpose or settlement zones, or, at or within the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity; and</del></li> <li>Sound from any helicopter landing area must be assessed, managed and controlled in accordance with NZ 6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing</li> </ol>

Submission point	Specific Provision	Submission	Reason	Decision sought
				<p>Areas. Where the activity is restricted discretionary, the matters over which discretion is restricted are:</p> <p>(a) The characteristics of the noise being generated including its frequency, intensity and any special noise characteristics; and</p> <p>(b) The time of the day or night the landing area/helipad will be used; and</p> <p>(c) Potential for cumulative effects considering the background noise environment and any special noise characteristics from existing sources; and</p> <p>(d) Proximity of actual and anticipated noise sensitive activities to the proposed or existing landing area/helipad and effects on these activities; and</p> <p>(e) Effects on people and communities' health and wellbeing, including the potential for sleep disturbance; and</p> <p>(f) Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach, including site layout, design and</p>

Submission point	Specific Provision	Submission	Reason	Decision sought
				<p>location of structures or equipment and the timing of operations; and</p> <p>(g) The degree to which adverse effects can be mitigated through conditions of consent such as noise attenuation; and</p> <p>(h) Whether the proposal is in accordance with non-statutory guidelines such as Helicopter Association International Fly Neighbourly Guide 1993 and the operation is AIRCARE accredited; and</p> <p>(i) The economic benefits, including providing opportunities for employment that will be derived from the activity.</p>